### Case 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP Document 3 Filed 07/28/23 Page 1 of 8

1	McGLINCHEY STAFFORD Jeffrey R. Seewald (SBN 320818) Zeeshan Iqbal (SBN 337990) 18201 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 350 Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 381-5900 Facsimile: (949) 271-4040 Email: jseewald@mcglinchey.com						
2							
3							
4							
-							
5	ziqbal@mcglinchey.com						
6	Attorneys for Defendant CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.						
7		,					
8	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	EASTERN DISTRICT						
11	DAVID POOR and BERNADETTE POOR,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP					
12	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT CONSUMER PORTFOLIO					
13	V.	SERVICES, INC.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT					
	CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.;						
14	MILLENNIUM CAPITAL AND RECOVERY CORPORATION; ACCURATE ADJUSTMENTS						
15	INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,						
16	Defendants.						
17							
18							
19	Defendant Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. ("CPS") hereby answers the Complaint (the						
20	"Complaint") filed by <i>plaintiffs</i> David Poor and Bernadette Poor (the "Plaintiffs") as follows:						
21	SUMMARY O	OF ACTION					
22	1. CPS admits the allegations in this pa	ragraph.					
23	2. CPS admits that it is a California c	corporation but denies that its principal place of					
24	business is located in Irvine. California.						

- f
- 3. CPS lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations in this paragraph and, on that basis denies the allegations.

25

26

**27** 

28

4. CPS lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations in this paragraph and, on that basis denies the allegations.

3

7

5

10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

> 22 23

> 24

25

26

27

28

5. CPS lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations in this paragraph and, on that basis denies the allegations.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. The allegation in this paragraph constitutes a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS admits that the Court has jurisdiction over this action.
- 7. The allegation in this paragraph constitutes a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS admits that the venue is proper in the Superior Court of California, County of Sacrament but the action should be removed based on Federal Question Jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1441.

### **OPERATIVE FACTS**

- 8. CPS lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations in this paragraph and, on that basis denies the allegations.
- 9. CPS denies the first sentence in paragraph 9. The allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 9 constitute a legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies those allegations.. The allegations in the third sentence of paragraph 9 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies those allegations. The allegations in the fourth sentence of paragraph 9 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies those allegations.
- 10. The allegation in this paragraph constitutes a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegation..
- 11. CPS lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations in this paragraph and, on that basis denies the allegations.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTIONS **PRACTICES ACT**

12. CPS re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all of its prior responses. 4

6

10

11 12

1314

15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

2627

**28** 

- 13. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 14. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 15. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 16. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 17. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 18. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – VIOLATIONS OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 19. CPS re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all of its above responses.
- 20. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 21. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 22. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 23. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 24. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.
- 25. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.

### Case 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP Document 3 Filed 07/28/23 Page 4 of 8

The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is

26.

2	required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.		
3	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - CONVERSATION		
4	27. CPS re-alleges and incorporates by referenced herein all of its above responses.		
5	28. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is		
6	required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.		
7	29. The allegations in this paragraph constitute a legal conclusion to which no response is		
8	required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, CPS denies the allegations.		
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
10	CPS denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief requested in the Complaint.		
11	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>		
12	CPS asserts the following affirmative defenses to the Complaint. In asserting these affirmative		
13	defenses, CPS does not assume the burden to establish any fact or proposition where that burden is		
14	properly imposed on Plaintiff.		
15	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
16	(Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted)		
17	1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.		
18	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
19	(No Damage to Plaintiffs)		
20	2. Plaintiffs have not suffered damages as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint.		
21	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	(Laches)		
23	3. The Complaint and each of its causes of action are barred by the doctrine of laches due		
24	to Plaintiffs' own acts and/or omissions with respect to the subject matter of the Complaint.		
25	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	(Failure to Mitigate)		
27	4. The Complaint and each of its causes of action are barred because any injury, damage,		
28	loss, and/or detriment suffered by Plaintiffs was the result of their failure to use reasonable means to		
	Case No. 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP		
	DEFENDANT CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT 23694528.1		

## Case 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP Document 3 Filed 07/28/23 Page 5 of 8

1	mitigate their damages and otherwise exercise due and ordinary care on their own behalf.		
2	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
3	(Non-recoverable Fees)		
4	5.	CPS is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, as a matter of fact and	
5	law, and fo	or reasons in equity, neither Plaintiffs nor their attorneys are entitled to an award of	
6	attorneys' fees in this case.		
7	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	(Estoppel)		
9	6.	The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrine of estoppel.	
10		SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
11		(Waiver)	
12	7.	The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver.	
13	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14		(Unclean Hands)	
15	8.	The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by reason of Plaintiffs' unclean hands.	
16		NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
17		(Additional Defenses)	
18	9.	CPS states that it does not presently know all facts concerning the conduct of Plaintiff	
19	and his enu	merated (or potential) causes of action sufficient to state all affirmative defenses at this	
20	time. Accordingly, CPS reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event that it		
21	later discovers facts supporting such defenses.		
22		<u>PRAYER</u>	
23	WHEREFORE, CPS prays as follows:		
24	1.	That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of the Complaint;	
25	2.	For judgment in favor of CPS and against Plaintiff on each of the causes of action	
26	enumerated in the Complaint;		
27	3.	That CPS be awarded its costs of suit incurred in this action; and	
28	///		
		5 Case No. 2:23-ey-01475-TI N-IDP	

DEFENDANT CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

23694528.1

### Case 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP Document 3 Filed 07/28/23 Page 6 of 8

1	4.	That CPS be a	awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
2			
3	DATED:	July 28, 2023	McGLINCHEY STAFFORD
4			
5			By: <u>/s/ Zeeshan Iqbal</u> JEFFREY SEEWALD
6			ZEESHAN IQBAL Attorneys for <i>Defendant</i> CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.
7			SERVICES, INC.
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1	1		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	STA	TE OF CALIFORNIA )			
3	COU	NTY OF ORANGE ) ss.			
4	I, Kayla Han, declare:				
5	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18201 Von Karman Ave., Suite 350, Irvine, California 92612.				
7	On July 28, 2023, I served the document(s) described as: CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT as follows:				
8		BY MAIL: As follows:			
9		FEDERAL – I deposited such envelope in the U.S. mail at Irvine, California, with postage thereon fully prepaid,			
11	BY OVERNIGHT COURIER SERVICE as follows: I caused such envelope to be delivered by overnight courier service to the offices of the addressee. The envelope was				
12		deposited in or with a facility regularly maintained by the overnight courier service with delivery fees paid or provided for.			
13		<b>BY EMAIL SERVICE</b> as follows: By email or electronic transmission: Based on a agreement between the parties and/or as a courtesy, I sent the document(s) to the person(s)			
14		the email address(es) listed on the service list. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was			
15		unsuccessful.			
16		<b>BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING:</b> I caused said document(s) to be served by means of this Court's Electronic transmission of the Notice of Electronic Filing			
17		through the Court's transmission facilities, to the parties and/or counsel who are registered CM/ECF users set forth in the service list obtained from this Court. Pursuant to Electronic			
18		Filing Court Order, I hereby certify that the above documents(s) was uploaded to the website and will be posted on the website by the close of the next business day and the webmaster will give e-mail notification to all parties.			
20		<b>FEDERAL:</b> I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the State Bar of this			
21		Court at whose direction the service was made.			
22	Executed on July 28, 2023, at Irvine, California.				
23		the contraction of the contracti			
24		Kayla Han			
25					
26					
27					
28					

## **SERVICE LIST** USDC, Eastern District Case No. 2:23-cv-01475-TLN-JDP DAVID POOR, et al. v. CONSUMER PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC., et al. File # 018788.0104 Brandon A. Block, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs DAVID POOR and LAW OFFICES OF BRANDON A. BLOCK BERNÁDETTE POŐR A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 301 Tel: (424) 600-9454 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Fax: (424) 600-9631 Email: brandon@bblocklaw.com

23706459.1